arXiv:1504.01677v1 [math.AP] 6 Apr 2015

ON POINCARÉ, FRIEDRICHS AND KORNS INEQUALITIES ON DOMAINS AND HYPERSURFACES[1]

R. Duduchava

I. Javakhishvili Tbilisi State University, Andrea Razmadze Mathematical Institute,
Tamarashvili str. 6, Tbilisi 0177, Georgia; `roland.duduchava@tsu.ge`

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35J57; Secondary 74J35, 58J32. **Key words and phrases:** Poincaré inequality, Friedrichs inequality, Poincaré-Korns inequality, Friedrichs-Korns inequality, Open mapping theorem, Bessel potential space, Hypersurface

Abstract

The celebrated Poincaré and Friedrichs inequalities estimate the $\mathbb{L}_p$-norm of a function by the $\mathbb{L}_p$-norm of the gradient. We prove the Poincare inequality for a domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ and for a hypersurface $\mathcal{C}\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ based on open mapping theorem of Banach only. For a cylinder which has a hypersurface as a base, is prove stronger inequality, involving only the surface derivatives. Similar inequalities for the uniform C-norm are proved as well. We also estimate $\mathbb{H}^m_p$-norm of functions prove inequalities for some generalizations of the mentioned inequalities.

We also prove Poincaré-Korns and Friedrichs-Korns inequalities for vector-functions estimating the $\mathbb{L}_p$-norm of a function by the $\mathbb{L}_p$-norm of the deformation tensor only on domains and on hypersurfaces. The proofs are based on the paper [Du10] of the author on Korns inequalities. And again, the norm of the function in a cylinder is estimated by is the deformation tensor on the base of the cylinder.

Introduction

Let $1\leqslant p\leqslant\infty$ and Ω be a bounded connected open subset of the n-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ with a Lipschitz boundary (a domain with the *uniform cone property*). Then there exists a constant C, depending only on Ω and p such that for every function φ in the Sobolev space $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ the celebrated Poincaré inequality holds

$$\|\varphi-\varphi_\Omega\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|\leqslant C\|\nabla\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|, \tag{1}$$

---

[1] This work was supported by the Shota Rustaveli Georgian National Science Foundation No. GNSF/DI/10/5-101/12, Contract No. 13/14.

where

$$\varphi_\Omega := \frac{1}{\operatorname{mes}\Omega}\int_\Omega \varphi(y)dy \tag{2}$$

is the average value of φ over Ω. Here $\operatorname{mes}\Omega$ stands for the Lebesgue measure of the domain Ω and the constant C depends on Ω and p only. When Ω is a ball, the above inequality is called a *Poincaré inequality*, while for more general domains Ω inequality (1) is known as a *Sobolev inequality* (cf., e.g., [DL90]).

Let $\mathcal{M}_0$ be a subset of the closed domain $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \overline{\Omega}$ of co-dimension 1 and have non-trivial measure $\operatorname{mes}\mathcal{M}_0 \neq 0$ (can be a non-trivial part of the boundary). Let φ^+ denote the trace of φ on $\mathcal{M}_0$. The following

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\left[\|\nabla\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \left|\int_{\mathcal{M}_0}\varphi^+(x)\,d\sigma\right|^p\right]^{1/p}, \qquad \varphi\in\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega) \tag{3}$$

is known as *Friedrichs inequality* for $\mathcal{M}_0 = \partial\Omega$, $p = 2$ (see [Tr72, Theorem 6.28.2], [HW08, Theorem 4.1.7]).

If $\mathcal{M}_0$ is the same as in (3), the next inequality

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\|\nabla\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \tag{4}$$

for a function $\varphi\in\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega,\mathcal{M}_0)$ which vanish on $\mathcal{M}_0$, is a variant of inequalities (1), (3) (see [Tr72, Theorem 6.28.2], [HW08, Theorem 4.1.7] and [Wl87, Theorem 7.6, Theorem 7.7]).

The inequalities (3) and (4) hold, of course, if $\mathcal{M}_0$ is a subdomain of Ω.

In contrast to (1), in inequalities (4) and (3) the domain Ω can also be unbounded (might have an infinite measure), provided $\operatorname{mes}\mathcal{M}_0 < \infty$ in (3).

Moreover, for a cylinder $\Omega := \mathcal{C}\times[a,b]$ with a base $\mathcal{C}$ which is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$, we prove a stronger inequality, namely the following

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\left[\|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \left|\int_{\mathcal{M}_0}\varphi^+(x)\,d\sigma\right|^p\right]^{1/p}, \qquad \varphi\in\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C}), \tag{5}$$

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|, \qquad \varphi\in\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega,\mathcal{M}_0), \tag{6}$$

where $\nabla_{\mathcal{C}} = (\mathcal{D}_1,\dots,\mathcal{D}_n)^\top$ is the surface gradient and $\mathcal{D}_1,\dots,\mathcal{D}_n$ are the Gunter's derivatives (see § 1), and $\varphi\in\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega,\mathcal{M}_0)$ vanishes on a $(n-1)$-dimensional strip $\mathcal{M}_0 := \Gamma_0\times[a,b]$ with $\Gamma_0\subset\overline{\mathcal{C}}$-a $(n-2)$-dimensional subset of $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$ (can be a piece of the boundary $\partial\mathcal{C}$). The inequality (5) is remarkable, because contains only the surface derivatives and does not contains the derivative with respect to the variable $t\in[a,b]$ transversal to the surface $\mathcal{C}$.

For a cylinder $I_\omega := \omega \times I$, $I := [a, b]$, with a flat base $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the inequalities (5) and (6) have the form

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(I_\omega)\| \leqslant C\left[\|\nabla_\omega\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(I_\omega)\|^p + \|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Gamma_0 \times I)\|^p\right]^{1/p}, \qquad \varphi \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(I_\omega), \tag{7}$$

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(I_\omega)\| \leqslant C\|\nabla_\omega\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(I_\omega)\|, \qquad \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(I_\omega, \Gamma_0 \times I), \tag{8}$$

where $\nabla_\omega(\mathbf{U})$ is the gradient in ω (in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$) and contains only $(n-1)$ derivatives.

Poincaré and Friedrichs inequalities also hold for a smooth surfaces

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_{\mathcal{C}}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\| \leqslant C\|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\|, \qquad \varphi \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C}), \tag{9}$$

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\| \leqslant C\left[\|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\|^p + \left|\int_{\Gamma_0}\varphi^+(\mathcal{x})\,d\sigma\right|^p\right]^{1/p}, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C}) \tag{10}$$

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\| \leqslant C\|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\|, \qquad \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\mathcal{C}, \Gamma_0), \tag{11}$$

where $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}$ denotes the average value of φ over $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\varphi_{\mathcal{C}} := \frac{1}{\operatorname{mes}\mathcal{C}}\int_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi(y)d\sigma. \tag{12}$$

Γ_0 is a subset of the closed surface $\Gamma_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ of co-dimension 1 and has non-trivial measure $\operatorname{mes}\Gamma_0 \neq 0$ (Γ_0 can be a non-trivial part of the boundary).

The inequalities (9) and (11) hold, of course, if Γ_0 is a subsurface of $\mathcal{C}$.

The inequality (9) holds for surfaces of finite measure, while the inequality (11) does not needs such constraint and the surface $\mathcal{C}$ might have infinite measure.

The following

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^\ell_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant \|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\left[\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\|\partial^\alpha\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \sum_{|\beta|<m}\left|\int_{\mathcal{M}_0}(\partial^\beta\varphi)^+(\mathcal{x})\,d\sigma\right|^p\right]^{1/p}, \qquad \varphi \in \mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega), \tag{13}$$

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^\ell_p(\mathcal{M})\| \leqslant \|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\mathcal{M})\| \leqslant C\left[\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\|\mathcal{D}^\alpha\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M})\|^p + \sum_{|\beta|<m}\left|\int_{\Gamma_0}(\mathcal{D}^\beta\varphi)^+(\mathcal{x})\,d\sigma\right|^p\right]^{1/p}, \qquad \varphi \in \mathbb{W}^m_p(\mathcal{M}), \tag{14}$$

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^\ell_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant \|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\|\partial^\alpha\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p \quad \varphi \in \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^m_p(\Omega, \mathcal{M}_0), \tag{15}$$

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^{\ell}_p(\mathcal{M})\| \leqslant \|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^{m}_p(\mathcal{M})\| \leqslant C\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\|\mathcal{D}^{\alpha}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M})\| \quad \varphi\in\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^m_p(\mathcal{M},\Gamma_0) \tag{16}$$

for $\ell < m$, $m = 2,3,\ldots$, generalize *Poincaré inequalities* (1) and (9), while the inequalities

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^{\ell}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant \|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^{m}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\left[\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\|\partial^{\alpha}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \int_{\mathcal{M}_0}|\varphi^+(x)|^p d\sigma\right]^{1/p}, \tag{17}$$

$$\varphi\in\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega),$$

$$\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^{\ell}_p(\mathcal{M})\| \leqslant \|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^{m}_p(\mathcal{M})\| \leqslant C\left[\sum_{|\alpha|=m}\|\partial^{\alpha}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M})\|^p + \int_{\Gamma_0}|\varphi^+(x)|^p d\sigma\right]^{1/p}, \tag{18}$$

$$\varphi\in\mathbb{W}^m_p(\mathcal{M})$$

for $\ell < m$, $m = 2,3,\ldots$, generalize *Friedrichs inequalities* (3) and (10) (see [Tr72, Theorem 6.28.2], [HW08, Theorem 4.1.7]).

All above inequalities hold also for the space of 1-smooth functions $C^1(\Omega)$-just replace the $\mathbb{L}_p$-norm by $\|\varphi\big|C(\mathcal{C})\| := \max_{x\in\Omega}|\varphi(x)|$ and $\mathbb{W}^1_p$-norm by $\|\varphi\big|C^1(\mathcal{C})\| := \max_{x\in\Omega}|\varphi(x)| + \max_{x\in\Omega}|\nabla\varphi(x)|$.. For example, the inequality (1) acquires the form

$$\max_{x\in\Omega}|\varphi(x) - \varphi_{\Omega}(x)| \leqslant C\max_{x\in\Omega}|\nabla\varphi(x)|. \tag{19}$$

There is only one essential difference: in analogues of inequalities (4), (12), (9), (13) and (17) the sets $\mathcal{M}_0$ and Γ_0 can be one point sets.

It turned out, that for vector-functions $\mathbf{U}(x) = (U_1(x),\ldots,U_n(x))^{\top}$ on a domain $\Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ even gradient is superfluous in the inequalities (1), (4) and it suffices to take the deformation tensor:

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\left[\|\mathbf{Def}\,\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \|\mathbf{U}^+\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)\|^p\right]^{1/p}, \quad \mathbf{U}\in\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega), \tag{20}$$

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\| \leqslant C\left[\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\|^p + \|\mathbf{U}^+\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Gamma_0)\|^p\right]^{1/p} \quad \mathbf{U}\in\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C}), \tag{21}$$

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\|\mathbf{Def}\,\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|, \qquad \mathbf{U}\in\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega,\mathcal{M}_0), \tag{22}$$

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\| \leqslant C\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\| \qquad \mathbf{U}\in\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\mathcal{C},\Gamma_0), \tag{23}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_0$ and Γ_0 are the same as in (3) and (10), respectively. $\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U})$ and $\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{U})$ are the domain and the surface deformation tensors, respectively (see (9) and (10)), and only $\dfrac{n(n+1)}{2} < n^2$ different linear combinations of the n^2 derivatives $\partial_j U_k$ (of derivatives $\mathcal{D}_j U_k$, respectively; $j,k = 1,\ldots,n$) are involved.

For a cylinder $\Omega := \mathcal{C} \times [a,b]$ with a base $\mathcal{C}$ which is a hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and a vector-function $\mathbf{U} = (U_1, \dots, U_n)^\top$, we prove a stronger inequality, namely the following

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\left[\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{U})\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)\|^p\right]^{1/p}, \quad \mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega), \tag{24}$$

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{U})\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|, \qquad \mathbf{U} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega, \mathcal{M}_0), \tag{25}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_0 := \Gamma_0 \times [a,b]$ is a strip, $\Gamma_0 \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}$. The inequality (5) is remarkable, because estimates the vector-function $\mathbf{U}$, instead of $n(n+1)$ derivatives $\mathcal{D}_j U_k$, $j = 1, \dots, n+1$, $k = 1, \dots, n$ including the transversal derivatives $\mathcal{D}_{n+1}U_k$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, by only surface deformation tensor $\mathbf{Def}_c C(\mathbf{U})$.

For a cylinder $I_\omega := \omega \times I$, $I := [a,b]$, with a flat base $\omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, the inequalities (24) and (25) have the form

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(I_\omega)\| \leqslant C\left[\|\mathbf{Def}_{\omega}(\mathbf{U})\big|\mathbb{L}_p(I_\omega)\|^p + \|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Gamma_0 \times I)\|^p\right]^{1/p}, \qquad \mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(I_\omega), \tag{26}$$

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(I_\omega)\| \leqslant C\|\mathbf{Def}_{\omega}(\mathbf{U})\big|\mathbb{L}_p(I_\omega)\|, \qquad \mathbf{U} \in \widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(I_\omega, \Gamma_0 \times I), \tag{27}$$

where $\mathbf{Def}_\omega(\mathbf{U})$ is the deformation tensor in ω (in $\mathbb{R}^{n-1}$) and contains only $\dfrac{n(n-1)}{2}$ derivatives.

The inequalities (20)-(23) follow from Korns inequalities and we call: (20)-(21) *Friedrichs-Korns inequalities* and (22)-(23) *Poincaré-Korns inequalities*.

1 Auxiliaries

Throughout the present paper we will assume that $\mathcal{C}$ be a sufficiently smooth hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the Lipschitz boundary $\Gamma := \partial\mathcal{C}$ (a surface with the *uniform cone property*), defined by a real valued smooth function

$$\mathcal{C} = \Big\{ \mathscr{x} \in \Omega \ : \ \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathscr{x}) = 0 \Big\}, \tag{1}$$

which is regular $\nabla \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathscr{x}) \neq 0$. The normalized gradient

$$\boldsymbol{\nu}(\mathscr{x}) := \frac{\nabla \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathscr{x})}{\big|\nabla \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathscr{x})\big|}, \qquad \mathscr{x} \in \mathcal{C} \tag{2}$$

defines the *unit normal vector field* on $\mathcal{C}$.

The collection of the tangential *Günter's derivatives* are defined as follows (cf. [Gu53, KGBB79, DMM06, Du10, Du11])

$$\mathcal{D}_j := \partial_j - \nu_j(\mathscr{x})\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \partial_{\mathbf{d}^j}, \qquad \nu_j(\mathscr{x}) := \frac{\partial_j \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathscr{x})}{\big|\nabla \Psi_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathscr{x})\big|} \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{3}$$

where

$$\mathbf{e}^1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^\top, \dots, \mathbf{e}^n = (0, \dots, 0, 1)^\top \tag{4}$$

is the natural basis in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\partial_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} := \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_j \partial_j$ denotes the normal derivative. For each $1 \leq j \leq n$, the first-order differential operator $\mathcal{D}_j = \partial_{\mathbf{d}^j}$ is the directional derivative along the tangential vector

$$\mathbf{d}^{\,j} := \pi_{\mathcal{S}}\, \mathbf{e}^j, \quad \langle \boldsymbol{\nu}(x), \mathbf{d}^{\,j}(x) \rangle \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \nu_k \mathbf{d}^{\,k} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, n, \tag{5}$$

the projection of $\mathbf{e}^j$ on the space of tangential vector fields to $\mathcal{S}$.

The *surface gradient* $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}}\varphi$ is the collection of the Günter's derivatives

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{S}}\varphi := (\mathcal{D}_1\varphi, \dots, \mathcal{D}_n\varphi)^\top \tag{6}$$

and is an equivalent form of the surface gradient defined in the differential geometry by means of covariant metric tensor (see [DMM06, Du10, Du11]). The next Lemma 1.1 was proved in [Du10, Lemma 1.2].

Lemma 1.1 *For $\varphi \in C^1(\mathcal{S})$ the surface gradient vanishes $\nabla_{\mathcal{S}}\varphi \equiv 0$ if and only if $\varphi(\mathcal{x}) \equiv \mathrm{const}$.*

$\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ and $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$, $1 < p < \infty$, denote the Sobolev spaces on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and the surface $\mathcal{C}$ endowed with the norm:

$$\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\,\| := \left[\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\,\| + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\partial_j\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|_p\right]^{1/p} \tag{7}$$

and, respectively,

$$\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^m_p(\mathcal{C})\,\| := \left[\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\,\| + \sum_{j=1}^n \|\mathcal{D}_j\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\|_p\right]^{1/p}. \tag{8}$$

Let us define the space $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega, \mathcal{M}_0)$ for a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with a Lipshitz boundary $\mathcal{M} := \partial\Omega$ and a subsurface $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}$-of non-zero measure as the closure in $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ of the set $C^\infty(\Omega, \mathcal{M}_0)$ of smooth functions $\varphi(x)$ which have vanishing traces on $\mathcal{M}_0$, i.e. $\varphi^+(\mathcal{x}) = 0$ for all $\mathcal{x} \in \mathcal{M}_0$. The space $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega, \mathcal{M}_0)$ inherits the standard norm $\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\,\|$ from the space $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ (see (7)).

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a subsurface of a closed surface $\mathcal{S}$ without boundary, $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the space of functions $\varphi \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{S})$, supported in $\overline{\mathcal{C}}$. Let $\mathcal{C}^c = \mathcal{S} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ be the complemented surface with the common boundary $\partial\mathcal{C} = \partial\mathcal{C}^c = \Gamma$; The notation $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$ is used for the factor space $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})/\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\mathcal{C}^c)$. The space $\mathbb{W}^s_p(\mathcal{C})$ can also be interpreted as the space of restrictions $r_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi := \varphi\big|_{\mathcal{C}}$ of all functions $\varphi \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{S})$ to the subsurface $\mathcal{C}$.

Similarly are defined the spaces $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega)$ and $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ for a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

We refer to [**?**, Du10, Du11] for details about these spaces.

For an n-vector-function $\mathbf{U}(x) = (U_1(x), \dots, U_n(x))^\top$ on a domain in the Euclidean space $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ the deformation tensor reads

$$\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U}) = \big[\mathbf{D}_{jk}(\mathbf{U})\big]_{n\times n}, \qquad \mathbf{D}_{jk}(\mathbf{U}) := \frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_j U_k + \partial_k U_j\right]. \tag{9}$$

The following form of the important deformation (strain) tensor on a surface $\mathcal{C}$ was identified in [DMM06]:

$$\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{U}) = \big[\mathfrak{D}_{jk}(\mathbf{U})\big]_{n\times n}, \quad \mathbf{U} = \textstyle\sum_{j=1}^n U_j \mathbf{d}^j \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C}), \quad j,k = 1,\dots,n, \tag{10}$$
$$\mathfrak{D}_{jk}(\mathbf{U}) := \tfrac{1}{2}\big[(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{S}}_j\mathbf{U})_k + (\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{S}}_k\mathbf{U})_j\big] = \tfrac{1}{2}\Big[\mathcal{D}_k U_j + \mathcal{D}_j U_k + \sum_{m=1}^n U_m \mathcal{D}_m\big(\nu_j\nu_k\big)\Big],$$

where $(\mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{S}}_j\mathbf{U})_k := \langle \mathcal{D}^{\mathcal{S}}_j\mathbf{U}, \mathbf{e}^k\rangle$ and $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{C})$ is the linear space of all tangential vectors-functions to the surface $\mathcal{C}$.

A vector $\mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ is called a *rigid motion* if $\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U}) = 0$ and a vector $\mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$ is called a *Killings vector field* on the surface $\mathcal{C}$ if $\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{V}) = 0$.

The next Theorem 1.2 (*Korns I inequality for domains "without boundary condition"*) is well known (see [Ci00] for a simple proof when $p = 2$, $m = 1$ and see [Du10, Theorem 2.3] for a general case).

Theorem 1.3 is proved in [Du10, Theorem 2.3]. P. Ciarlet proved it in [Ci00] for the case $p = 2$, $m = 1$, manifold without boundary, for curvilinear coordinates and covariant derivatives.

Theorem 1.2 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain with the Lipshitz boundary and*

$$\big\|\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U})\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\big\| := \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^n \big\|\mathbf{D}_{jk}(\mathbf{U})\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\big\|^p\right]^{1/p}, \qquad \mathbf{U} \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega). \tag{11}$$

Then the inequality

$$\big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\big\| \leq M\,\left[\big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\big\|^p + \big\|\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U})\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\big\|^p\right]^{1/p} \tag{12}$$

holds with some constant $M > 0$ or, equivalently, the equality

$$\big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\big\|_0 := \left[\big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\big\|^p + \big\|\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U})\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\big\|^p\right]^{1/p} \tag{13}$$

defines an equivalent norm on the space $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$.

A rigid motion $\mathbf{U}$, $\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U}) = 0$, has the unique continuation property: if $\mathbf{U}(x) = 0$ on a set $\mathcal{M}_0$ described in (3), than $\mathbf{U}(x) = 0$ everywhere on Ω.

Theorem 1.3 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Lipshitz hypersurface with or without boundary and (see* (10) *for the deformation tensor $\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{V})$)*

$$\left\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{V})\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\right\| := \left[\sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \left\|\mathfrak{D}_{jk}(\mathbf{V})\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\right\|^p\right]^{1/p}, \qquad \mathbf{V} \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C}). \tag{14}$$

Then the inequality

$$\left\|\mathbf{V}\middle|\mathbb{H}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\right\| \leq M\left[\left\|\mathbf{V}\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\right\|^p + \left\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{V})\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\right\|^p\right]^{1/p} \tag{15}$$

holds with some constant $M > 0$ or, equivalently, the equality

$$\left\|\mathbf{V}\middle|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\right\|_0 := \left[\left\|\mathbf{V}\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\right\|^p + \left\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{V})\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\right\|^p\right]^{1/p} \tag{16}$$

defines an equivalent norm on the space $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{S})$.

A Killings vector field $\mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{V}) = 0$, has the unique continuation property: if $\mathbf{V}(x) = 0$ on a set Γ_0 described in (10), *than $\mathbf{V}(x) = 0$ everywhere on $\mathcal{C}$.*

For the proofs of the next Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5 (*Korns II inequality for domains "with boundary condition"*) we refer to the same sources [Ci00, Du10] mentioned above.

Theorem 1.4 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a domain with the Lipshitz boundary. Then the inequality*

$$\left\|\mathbf{U}\middle|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\right\| \leq M\left\|\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U})\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\right\| \tag{17}$$

holds with some constant $M > 0$ or, equivalently, the equality

$$\left\|\mathbf{U}\middle|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\right\|_0 := \left\|\mathbf{Def}(\mathbf{U})\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\right\| \tag{18}$$

defines an equivalent norm on the space $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega)$.

Theorem 1.5 *Let $1 < p < \infty$, $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a Lipshitz hypersurface with boundary. Then the inequality*

$$\left\|\mathbf{V}\middle|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\right\| \leq M\left\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{V})\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\right\| \tag{19}$$

holds with some constant $M > 0$ or, equivalently, the equality

$$\left\|\mathbf{V}\middle|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\right\|_0 := \left\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbf{V})\middle|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\right\| \tag{20}$$

defines an equivalent norm on the space $\widetilde{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 1.6 *A remarkable consequences of the foregoing theorems 1.2-1.5 are the facts that the spaces* $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ *and* $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega)$ *(as well as the spaces* $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$ *and* $\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$*), where*

$$\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\Omega) := \Big\{\mathbf{U} = \big(U_1 \dots, U_n\big)^\top \ : \ U_j \, , \, \mathbf{D}_{jk}(\mathbf{U}) \in \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega) \ \text{ for all } j,k = 1, \dots n\Big\} ,$$

$$\widehat{\mathbb{W}}^1_p(\mathcal{C}) := \Big\{\mathbf{V} = \big(V_1 \dots, V_n\big)^\top \ : \ V_j \, , \, \mathfrak{D}_{jk}(\mathbf{V}) \in \mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C}) \ \text{ for all } j,k = 1, \dots n\Big\}$$

are isomorphic (i.e. can be identified), although only $\dfrac{n(n+1)}{2} < n^2$ *linear combinations of the* n^2 *derivatives* $\partial_j U_k$ *(of derivatives* $\mathcal{D}_j U_k$*, respectively),* $j,k = 1, \dots n$ *are involved in the definition of the equivalent norms in* (13) *and* (13) *(of the norms in* (18) *and* (20)*, respectively).*

The next Lemma 1.7 is a slight generalization of [Tr72, Theorem 6.28.2] proved there for $p = 2$.

Lemma 1.7 *Let* Ω *be a bounded domain with the Lipschitz boundary ((a surface with the* uniform cone property*),* $m = 1, 2, \dots$*,* $1 \leqslant p < \infty$ *and let* $F(\varphi)$ *be a non-negative continuous functional on the Sobolev space* $\mathbb{W}^m(\Omega)$*:*

i. $F \ : \ \mathbb{W}^m(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$ *and* $F(\lambda\varphi) = |\lambda| F(\varphi)$ *for all complex* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ *and all functions* $\varphi \in \mathbb{H}^m(\Omega)$*;*

ii. $0 \leqslant F(\varphi) \leqslant C\big\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\big\|$ *for some constant* $C > 0$ *and* $F(P) \neq 0$ *for all polynomials of degree less than* m*.*

Then the formula

$$\big\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\big\|_F := \big[\sum_{\alpha = m} \big\|\partial^\alpha \varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\big\|^p + F^p(\varphi)\big]^{1/p} \tag{21}$$

defines an equivalent norm on the Sobolev space $\mathbb{W}^m(\Omega)$*.*

Lemma is valid if e replace Ω *by a hypersurface* $\mathcal{C}$ *and partial derivatives* ∂^α*-by Gúnters derivatives* $\mathcal{D}^\alpha$*.*

Proof: Let us note that $\big\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\big\|_F$ in (21) defines a norm on $\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)$ indeed. Since other properties are trivial to check, we will only check that $\big\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\big\|_F = 0$ implies $\varphi = 0$. Then $F(\varphi) = 0$ and all derivatives of order m vanish: $\partial^\alpha \varphi = 0$ for all $|\alpha| = m$. The latter means that the corresponding function is polynomial of order less than m, i.e., $\varphi(x) = \sum\limits_{|\beta| < m} c_\beta x^\beta$. Since $F(\varphi) = 0$, we get $\varphi \equiv 0$ due to the property (ii).

Due to the condition (ii) holds the inequality

$$\|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\|_F \leqslant \big[\sum_{\alpha=m} \big\|\partial^\alpha\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\big\|^p + \|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\|^p \big]^{1/p} \leqslant 2^{1/p}\|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\|.$$

Therefore the embedding of the spaces $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{W}^1_{p,F}(\Omega)$, where $\mathbb{W}^1_{p,F}(\Omega)$ is the closure of $C^m(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $\|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\|_F$, is continuous.

If we apply the open mapping theorem of Banach (see [Ru73, Theorem 2.11, Corollary 2.12.b], we conclude that the inverse inequality

$$\|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\|\psi \, \mathbb{W}^m_{p,F}(\Omega) \,\| := C\|\nabla\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega) \,\|_F$$

holds and accomplishes the proof. □

2 Proofs of the basic inequalities

Proof of inequality (1)**:** Let $\mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega)$ denote the subspace of $\mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega)$, consisiting of functions with mean value zero:

$$\varphi_\Omega := \frac{1}{\operatorname{mes}\Omega}\int_\Omega \varphi(y)dy = 0. \tag{1}$$

The formula

$$\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega) \,\| := \|\nabla\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \tag{2}$$

defines an equivalent norm in the space $\mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega)$. Since other properties are trivial to check, we only have to check that $\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega) \,\| = \|\nabla\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega) \,\| = 0$ implies $\varphi = 0$. Indeed, the trivial norm implies that the gradient vanishes $\nabla\varphi = 0$, which means that the corresponding function is constant $\varphi = C_0 = \text{const}$; since the mean value is zero $\varphi_\Omega = C_0 = 0$ and $\varphi \equiv 0$.

The inequality $\|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega) \,\| \leqslant \|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\|$, where

$$\|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\| := \big[\|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \|\nabla\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^2\big]^{1/p}$$

is the standard subspace norm on $\mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega)$ is trivial. Therefore the embedding $\mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega) \subset \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ with the appropriate norms is continuous and proper, since constants belong to $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ but not to $\mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega)$.

If we apply the open mapping theorem of Banach (see [Ru73, Theorem 2.11, Corollary 2.12.b], we conclude that the inverse inequality

$$\|\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C_1\|\psi \, \mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega) \,\| = C_1\|\nabla\psi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega) \,\|$$

holds with some constant $C_1 < \infty$ for all $\psi \in \mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega)$ (see [Tr72, Theorem 6.28.2] for a similar proof).

Since $\varphi_0 := \varphi - \varphi_\Omega \in \mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\Omega)$, we have

$$\|\varphi - \varphi_\Omega \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\|^p = \|\varphi - \varphi_\Omega \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\,\|^p + \|\nabla\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\,\|^p \leqslant C_1^p \|\nabla\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\,\|^p.$$

The claimed inequality (1) follows with the constant $C := (C_1^p - 1)^{1/p}$. □

Proof of inequalities (3)**,** (4)**,** (13) **and** (15)**:** Inequalities (4) and (15) are particular cases of (13). Inequality (13) follows from (21) if the functional F is chosen as follows:

$$F(\varphi) := \left[\sum_{|\beta|<m} \left|\int_{\mathcal{M}_0} (\partial^\beta\varphi)^+(x)\, d\sigma\right|^p\right]^{1/p}.$$

The condition $F(\varphi) \leqslant C\big\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\big\|$ (see Lemma 1.7.ii) holds due to the Sobolev's continuous embeddings $\mathbb{W}^{m-k-1/p}_p(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$, and the trace theorem

$$\|(\partial^\beta\varphi)^+|\mathbb{W}^{m-|\beta|-1/p}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)\| \leqslant C_1\|\varphi|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\|, \qquad |\beta| < m.$$

□

Proof of inequalities (9)**,** (10)**,** (11)**,** (14) **and** (16)**:** Inequality (9) is proved verbatim to (1).

Inequalities (10), (11) and (16) follow from (14) (are particular cases). Inequality (14) follows from (21) for surfaces if the functional F is chosen as follows:

$$F(\varphi) := \left[\sum_{|\beta|<m} \left|\int_{\Gamma_0} (\mathcal{D}^\beta\varphi)^+(x)\, d\sigma\right|^p\right]^{1/p}.$$

The condition $F(\varphi) \leqslant C\big\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\mathcal{C})\big\|$ (see Lemma 1.7.ii) holds due to the Sobolev's continuous embeddings $\mathbb{W}^{m-k-1/p}_p(\Gamma_0) \subset \mathbb{L}_p(\Gamma_0)$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1$, and the trace theorem

$$\|(\mathcal{D}^\beta\varphi)^+|\mathbb{W}^{m-|\beta|-1/p}_p(\Gamma_0)\| \leqslant C_1\|\varphi|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\mathcal{C})\|, \qquad |\beta| < m$$

□

Proof of inequalities (5) **and** (6)**:** Let $\Omega := \mathcal{C} \times [a, b]$ and $\mathcal{M}_0 := \Gamma_0 \times [a, b]$. To prove the inequality (5) we proceed similarly: the formula

$$\|\varphi|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\|_0 := \left[\|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \int_{\mathcal{M}_0} \big|\varphi^+(x)\big|^p\, d\sigma\right]^{1/p}$$

defines a norm in the space $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$. Indeed, we have to check that $\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\|_0 = 0$, which implies

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi(\mathcal{x}, t) = 0 \quad \forall\, \mathcal{x} \in \mathcal{C}, \quad t \in [a, b], \qquad \int_{\mathcal{M}_0} |\varphi^+(\tau, t)|^p \, d\sigma = 0, \tag{3}$$

gives $\varphi = 0$. But from the first equality in (3), due to Lemma 1.1, follows $\varphi(\mathcal{x}, t) = \varphi(t)$ is independent of the surface variable. But since $\varphi(\tau, t) = 0$ on $\mathcal{M}_0$ (see the second equality in (3)), the function vanishes on the entire level surface $\mathcal{C} \times \{t\}$ for all $t \in [a, b]$. Then $\varphi = 0$ in Ω.

Due to Sobolev's continuous embedding $\mathbb{W}^{1-1/p}_p(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)$ and the trace theorem

$$\|\varphi^+|\mathbb{W}^{1-1/p}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)\| \leqslant C_1\|\varphi|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\| \tag{4}$$

(see [**?**]), the initial norm in the space $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$

$$\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\| := \left[\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \|\partial_t\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^2\right]^{1/p}$$

(see [Du10, Du11]) estimates, obviously, the introduced norm

$$\|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\|_0 \leqslant C_2\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega, \mathcal{M}_0)\|.$$

Then from open mapping theorem of Banach follows the inverse inequality

$$\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant \|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C\|\varphi \,\big|\, \mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\|_0$$

and this accomplishes the proof of (5).

The inequality (6) is a direct consequence of (5). □

Proof of inequalities (9)**:** The proof is verbatim to the proof of inequality (1), using the standard norm (8) and the equivalent norm $\|\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\|$ on the space $\mathbb{W}^1_{p,\#}(\mathcal{C})$. We also have to apply Lemma 1.1 to conclude that $\nabla_{\mathcal{C}}\varphi = 0$ for $\varphi \in \mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C}, \Gamma_0)$ implies $\varphi \equiv 0$. □

Proof of inequalities (10)**,** (11) **and** (14)**:** Inequality (11) is a particular case of (10) (and of (9)), while (10) is, in its turn, a particular case, $m = 1$, of (14). Inequality (14) follows from (21) if the functional F is chosen as follows:

$$F(\varphi) := \left[\sum_{|\beta|<m} \left|\int_{\mathcal{M}_0} (\partial^\beta\varphi)^+(\mathcal{x})\, d\sigma\right|^p\right]^{1/p}.$$

The condition $F(\varphi) \leqslant C\big\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\big\|$ (see Lemma 1.7.ii) holds due to the Sobolev's continuous embeddings $\mathbb{W}^{m-1/p}_p(\mathcal{M}_0) \subset \mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)$ and the trace theorem

$$\|\varphi^+|\mathbb{W}^{m-1/p}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)\| \leqslant C_1\|\varphi|\mathbb{W}^m_p(\Omega)\|.$$

(see [?]). $\square$

Proof of inequalities (17) **and** (18)**:** These inequalities follow from (21) if the functional F is chosen as follows

$$F(\varphi) := \left[\int_{\mathcal{M}_0} \left|\varphi^+(x)\right|^p d\sigma\right]^{1/p}$$

for a domain Ω and

$$F(\varphi) := \left[\int_{\Gamma_0} \left|\varphi^+(x)\right|^p d\sigma\right]^{1/p}$$

for a hypersurface $\mathcal{C}$ (see (4) for the justification of the condition $F(\varphi) \leqslant C\big\|\varphi\big|\mathbb{W}^m_p\big\|$ in Lemma 1.7.ii). $\square$

Proof of inequality (19) **(and of similar ones):** For the space of smooth functions $C^1(\Omega)$ the proof is verbatim to the cases of the space $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$. $\square$

Proof of inequalities (20) **and** (21): Based on the unique continuation property (see Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3), we prove easily that

$$\big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\big\|_1 := \left[\|\mathbf{Def}\,\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\|^p + \|\mathbf{U}^+\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{M}_0)\|^p\right]^{1/p},$$

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\|_1 := \left[\|\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\|^p + \|\mathbf{U}^+\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Gamma_0)\|^p\right]^{1/p}$$

define norms in the spaces $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ and in $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$, respectively. Then the obvious inequalities

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\|_1 \leqslant \big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\big\|_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\|_1 \leqslant \big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\big\|_0$$

with the equivalent norms on the spaces $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)$ and in $\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})$ defined in (13) and (16) (see Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5) and the open mapping theorem of Banach ensure that the inverse inequalities

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\Omega)\| \leqslant C_1\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\|_0 \leqslant C\big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\Omega)\big\|_1,$$

$$\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{L}_p(\mathcal{C})\| \leqslant C_1\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\|_0 \leqslant C\big\|\mathbf{U}\big|\mathbb{W}^1_p(\mathcal{C})\big\|_1.$$

hold and accomplish the proof. $\square$

Proof of inequalities (22) **and** (23): These inequalities are obvious consequences of (20) and (21). $\square$

Proof of inequalities (24) **and** (25): Inequality (24) is proved verbatim to inequality (5) by using, instead of Lemma 1.1, the unique continuation property of Killing's vector fields, solutions to the equtions system $\mathbf{Def}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{U} = 0$ (see Theorem 1.3).

Inequality (25) is an obvious consequence of (24). $\square$

References

[Ci00] P.G. Ciarlet, *Mathematical Elasticity, Vol. III: Theory of Shells*, Studies in Mathematics and Applications, 29, Elsevier, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2000.

[DL90] R. Dautray, J.-L. Lions, *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1990.

[Du10] R. Duduchava, Lions's lemma, Korns inequalities and Lamé operator on hypersurfaces, *Operator Theory: Advances and Applications*, Vol. **210**, 43-77, 2010 Springer AG, Basel.

[Du11] R. Duduchava, A revised asymptotic model of a shell. *Memoirs on Differential Equations and Mathematical Physics* **52**, 2011, 65-108.

[DMM06] R.Duduchava, D.Mitrea, M.Mitrea, Differential operators and boundary value problems on surfaces. *Mathematische Nachrichten* **279**, No. 9-10 (2006), 996-1023.

[Gu53] N. Günter, *Potential Theory and its Application to the Basic Problems of Mathematical Physics*, Fizmatgiz, Moscow 1953 (Russian. Translation in French: Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1994).

[HW08] G. C. Hsiao & W. L. Weendland, *Boundary Integral Equations*, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.

[KGBB79] V. Kupradze, T. Gegelia, M. Basheleishvili, T. Burchuladze, *Three-Dimensional Problems of the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity and Thermoelasticity*, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1979 (Russian edition: Nauka, Moscow 1976).

[Ru73] W. Rudin, em Functional Analysis, McGraw-Hill Company. New York 1973.

[Tr95] H. Triebel, *Interpolation Theory, Function Spaces, Differential Operators*, 2nd edition, Johann Ambrosius Barth Verlag, Heidelberg-Leipzig 1995.

[Tr72] H. Triebel, *Höhere Analysis*, Dt. Verlag d. Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1972 (English translation: *Higher analysis*, Huthig Pub Limited, 1992).

[Wl87] J. Wloka, *Partial Differential Equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.